

REMARKS

This document is submitted in response to the final Office Action mailed November 4, 2005 ("Office Action"). Initially, the Applicants gratefully acknowledge allowance of claims 33 and 41-46. In the sole interest of moving this case forward, Applicants have cancelled without prejudice claims 34-40 and 48-59.

Claims 33 and 41-47 are pending. Reconsideration of claim 47 is requested in view of the following remarks.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph (written description)

Claims 34-35 and 47-59 were rejected as lacking written description. See the Office Action, page 2, lines 9-10. As claims 34-35 and 48-59 were cancelled, the ground for their rejection has been mooted. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 47 was rejected in error, as it depends from allowed claim 33 and no specific ground for its rejection was presented. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of this claim is respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph (enablement)

Claims 34-35 and 47-59 were rejected as lacking enablement. See the Office Action, page 2, lines 18-24. Claims 34-35 and 48-59 were cancelled. Thus, the grounds for their rejection have been mooted. As pointed out above, it appears that claim 47 was rejected in error, as it depends from an allowed claim and no specific grounds for its rejection were presented. Withdrawal of the rejection of this claim is respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 34-35 and 47-59 were rejected as indefinite. See the Office Action, page 4, lines 7-8. As Applicants have cancelled claims 34-35 and 48-49, the ground for their rejection has been mooted. Further, Applicants submit that claim 47 was erroneously rejected as it depends from allowed claim 33 and no specific ground for its rejection was presented. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of this claim be withdrawn.

Applicant : Mei-Chiao Wu et al.
Serial No. : 10/697,741
Filed : October 30, 2003
Page : 5 of 5

Attorney's Docket No.: 11702-003006 / PE-21602-
2AM

CONCLUSION

Based on the remarks set forth above, Applicants submit that all of the pending claims cover allowable subject matter. Allowance by the Examiner is respectfully solicited.

Enclosed is a \$60 check for the Petition for a one month Extension of Time fee. Please apply any other charges to deposit account 06-1050 referencing attorney docket 11702-003006.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3-6-06

Y. Rocky Tsao
Y. Rocky Tsao, Ph.D., J.D.
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 34,053

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906